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**Save the Date!**

Our Summer Grower Conference is scheduled for Wednesday, July 25th.
Crop Load Management: A Delayed Approach Can Increase Return On Investment

Kevin Martin

In a previous newsletter article we discussed an assessment of primary bud damage due to 2012 frost events. That assessment was completed across the nine sites selected as the best candidates to establish a representative sample of weather variation based on elevation and location.

Frost damage tends to be more location specific and variable than accumulated GDD and fruit maturation. However, LERGP, has done its best with these nine sites and GIS mapping to paint the most informative picture of damage that we possible can. Now that we are beyond 30 days post bloom, a self-assessment of your own vineyards would be far more accurate. All sites were pruned to approximately 120 nodes.

We observed significant primary damage in early May, damage that was significant across seven of the nine sites. Moderately higher damage was observed on those two sites but in parts of the vineyard away from test plots. For more information see Primary Bud Damage Figure 1. These escarpment sites represent only 1,100 acres, with most of the acreage confined to lower lying areas.

Since this assessment the cluster and berry counts have provided additional information that primary bud damage would not show. With great focus placed on the size of the crop this year, a lot of hands chipped in gathering this data. Without Tim Weigle organizing the data collection and filling the van with team members gathering data, the economic impact would be a shot in the dark.

The first thing to take away from the crop size data is that where unscathed primaries are observable and measurable, fruitfulness was up this year. The economic damage of this frost event is noticeably higher, in large part because we had more to lose than originally estimated. Total damage is significantly higher than originally estimated.

Taking a look at the new map, which includes crop estimates, reveals some other trends. The data shows some of the negative observations growers, field reps and extension have been making. Beyond primary bud loss there was primary and secondary bud damage that would also reduce crop. This damage was most significant in the Sheridan and Perrysburg area, away from the lake. Poorly performing secondary and remaining primaries most severely affected Route 5 in Portland. Primary bud mortality at that location did not indicate nearly the amount of damage now observed.

On the Pennsylvania side of things, probably due to the later frost events being less severe, secondary bud performance is highly localized. In certain sites it appears that they’re performing very well and have created an opportunity for crop levels much higher than I would have anticipated. Obviously the damage is severe, so what do we do about it? Nothing, of course, can be done to restore crop already gone. The question, what can be done, is best answered in the modification of practices in the future.

To manage for extremes, it can make sense to delay crop size reduction as long as possible. Dormant season pruning was historically the only crop size reduction tool. Growers and researchers have long-since been experimenting with ways to delay crop size reduction. Shoot thinning, shoot positioning and crop thinning are all tools commercially used to safely increase bud numbers above 150. The method requires a different approach. Passes through the vineyard tend to increase for purposes of crop reduction and disease control. There is the potential, however, for average yields to increase because of higher yields following a frost.

The improvement in frost year performance may justify the cost of additional management. The more likely a frost, the more likely a grower will increase profitability with this strategy. The most promising element of this method is the ability to adopt it as a continuum. Bud numbers so high that crop thinning becomes an annual event is probably not the most efficient method. Managing bud numbers so that crop thinning requirements are reduced to a level. A target of 50% - 75% of all years is much more practical. New technology can help justify these high thresholds as shoot thinning and crop thinning machines create the potential to reduce the cost of late crop size management.

This approach can also be practical for growers less than fifty acres. Shoot thinning and shoot positioning machines are fairly simple. A home brewed system with ability to adjust shoots could easily delay 20%
of crop size reduction until shoots had pushed. Such a system would be an affordable DIY project for the savvy grower, even with a farm size between 15 and 50 acres.

Dedicated fruit thinning heads, as well as, machine pruners can quickly pay for themselves for growers at sixty acres in size. These growers probably do not have harvesters and having the ability to fruit thin greatly increases potential crop load.

The idea that by managing crop levels for more extreme weather patterns appears to have paid dividends in vineyards that did not experience significant secondary bud mortality. These vineyards could represent 30% of the regional acreage. Much of this acreage is located in areas that are least likely to experience frost events. Other vineyards that postpone crop size reduction saw their secondary and event tertiary buds sustain so much damage that even with 150+ nodes, some blocks may not get harvested.

Sheridan Route 5, Harborcreek Route 20 and Route 20 Portland all have crop size estimated above 4 ton per acre. Those types of conditions create an environment where increasing bud numbers can have a positive impact on the economic value of the crop. Other sites with less damage, or nearly 100% damage would not necessarily have seen any economically significant potential from higher bud numbers.

Our experience tracking frost and yield to try and come up with an estimate of risk is limited to fifty years worth of data. We know that in marginal sites 80 – 120 buds is not sustainable unless prices exceed $300 per ton. We also know that because of the frequency of disaster, crop insurance, by itself, does not adequately manage risk at these sites. Converting to mechanized pruning and reducing crop size later, along with crop insurance substantially reduces risk.

We do know that bud break has been trending earlier in the season at a rate faster than bloom. This indicates that early spring has gotten warmer but temperatures remain more volatile than late spring bud swell. I would expect, given these environmental conditions, the zone of marginal sites could expand.

However, the cost of the equipment will vary based on the available capital the farm has and the ability of the grower to build, modify or maintain equipment. While the cost of money is at a record low, for many growers this year would be a terrible time to make large investments in equipment. To lower the cost of adoption, this should be part of a long-term plan. If your opinion of your site is evolving because of changing environmental conditions, adoption can be a long-term plan.

If the immediate success of the operation requires the adoption of something new and this methodology appears to be a good fit, capital expenses can be avoided in the short-term. Growers are operating machine pruners for hire at affordable rates. Dealers are also offering hourly leases of machine pruners.

Keep in mind that this is only half of the plan. Leaving up bud numbers that create the possibility of 12-18 ton crops require a commitment to reduce crop later on. That plan needs to be in place before the pruning happens. If you don’t have a harvester or a fruit thinner, make sure you get access to one. If hiring the thinning done, get a written contract. Getting machinery ready in the summer for another grower is a hassle. The possibility of contractual damages provides good motivation to get the harvester ready, even if it is for someone else.

Clearly, the frost damage this year was a result more dramatic than the earlier spring trend. The crop became susceptible to frost weeks earlier than average, not days. So early that significant damage was almost certain. In a year like this we can show that managing your crop load for extremes would be an effective tool for some. It also shows the limitations of the tool. With nearly 100% primary and secondary loss in some vineyards, the crop is lost no matter how many buds are left. Where there is significant primary damage, or inconsistent primary damage this type of crop load management can reduce the number of years crop is below four ton. In doing so, it can make crop insurance a more effective tool in marginal sites. Otherwise freeze and frost events can erode the long-term yield average that makes crop insurance work.
Lake Erie Regional Concord and Niagara Freeze Damage Assessment

July 6, 2012

Route 20, Sheridan, NY
6,798 Acres - 69% Damage
$9.2 million

Route 20, Portland, NY
8,235 Acres - 40% Damage
$6.4 million

Route 5, Sheridan, NY
611 Acres - 7% Damage
$75,000

Route 5, Portland, NY
1,648 Acres - 56% Damage
$1.8 million

Route 5, Northeast, PA
2,064 Acres - 8.0% Damage
$304,000

Northeast, PA Escarpment
708 Acres - 4.5% Damage
$180,000

Harborcreek, PA
10,241 Acres - 28% Damage
$3.7 million

Sheridan Escarpment/Versailles, NY
2,488 Acres - 56% Damage
$4.7 million

Portland, NY Escarpment
432 Acres - 6% Damage
$18,000

Figure 1: Early Season Assessment - May 2012

Lake Erie Regional Concord and Niagara Freeze Primary Bud Damage Assessment

May 15, 2012

Route 5, Portland, NY
1,648 Acres - 36.8% Damage
$0.6 million

Route 5, Northeast, PA
2,064 Acres - 13.4% Damage
$0.27 million

Northeast, PA Escarpment
708 Acres - 4.5% Damage
$0.3 million

Harborcreek, PA
10,241 Acres - 28.9% Damage
$3.7 million

Sheridan, NY
7,620 Acres - 57.1% Damage
$4.3 million

Sheridan Escarpment/Versailles, NY
2,488 Acres - 100% Damage
$2.5 million

Route 20, Portland, NY
8,235 Acres - 24.9% Damage
$2.0 million

Portland, NY Escarpment
432 Acres - 6.1% Damage
$0.2 million

Figure 2: Early Season Assessment - May 2012
Brown Marmorated Stink Bug – a potential problem for the Lake Erie and Finger Lakes Regions

Andy Muza - LERGP Extension Team/Penn State Extension – Erie County, PA

*Halyomorpha halys* (Stål), commonly known as the brown marmorated stink bug (BMSB), is a relatively new, introduced insect pest from Asia, which has caused economic losses in the eastern U.S. in various fruit, vegetable and agronomic crops over the last few years. In 2010 more than 37 million dollars in losses were reported in the tree fruit industry in the Mid-Atlantic Region.

This non-native stink bug has been officially recorded in at least 33 states and is probably present, but not yet reported, in others. High populations exist in Maryland, Virginia, New Jersey, Delaware, West Virginia and portions of Pennsylvania. A native pest in China, Japan, Korea and Taiwan, this insect was initially collected in the United States in Allentown, PA in 1998. In New York BMSB was first detected in 2008. It is suspected to have entered the country in commercial cargo from Asia.

**Hosts** – BMSB is reported to feed on as many as 300 host plants such as: fruit crops (e.g., apples, peaches, grapes, raspberries); vegetables (e.g., sweet corn, peppers, tomatoes, snap beans); agronomic crops (e.g., soybeans, field corn); ornamental crops; and on numerous tree species.

**Life Cycle and Description** – The BMSB has 3 life stages - egg, nymph and adult (images of various life stages are at [http://www.pestthreats.umd.edu/content/documents/BMSBBulletin1_10-2010_00](http://www.pestthreats.umd.edu/content/documents/BMSBBulletin1_10-2010_00)).

**Eggs** – are spherical, white-pale green in color, and laid in masses of about 25 – 30. These are usually deposited on the undersides of leaves. Eggs hatch in 3-5 days.

**Nymphs** – progress through 5 instars (nymphal developmental stages) before becoming adults. Each instar varies in size and appearance but all lack fully developed wings. Development through each instar takes about 1 week depending on temperatures (images of nymphs at [http://www.hgic.umd.edu/content/documents/BrownMarmoratedStinkbugImagesLeskey.pdf](http://www.hgic.umd.edu/content/documents/BrownMarmoratedStinkbugImagesLeskey.pdf)).

**Adults** – the adult is about 1/2 inch in length and shield-shaped, like other stink bug species. Coloration of the head and back of the insect is brown - gray with numerous small bluish dimples (seen with hand lens) which gives this insect a mottled appearance. However, characteristics that distinguish the BMSB from other stink bugs or similar appearing insects occur on the antennae, pronotum (shoulder area behind the head), and abdomen. **Antennae** – a pattern of alternating dark and white bands on the last 2 segments, **Pronotum** – margins of pronotum smooth not toothed or spiny, **Abdomen** – extends beyond the wings with alternating bands of white and black triangular shaped markings around the edges (image of distinguishing characteristics at [http://fieldcropnews.com/2011/08/is-this-brown-marmorated-stink-bug/](http://fieldcropnews.com/2011/08/is-this-brown-marmorated-stink-bug/), images of similar looking insects at [http://pmtp.wsu.edu/downloads/bmsbIDsheet.pdf](http://pmtp.wsu.edu/downloads/bmsbIDsheet.pdf) and [http://www.ipm.iastate.edu/ipm/hortnews/2011/3-9/bmsbpictures.html](http://www.ipm.iastate.edu/ipm/hortnews/2011/3-9/bmsbpictures.html)).

In the fall adults congregate in protected sites to overwinter. Due to this behavior BMSB has become a nuisance pest for homeowners by using houses as overwintering sites. In the spring adults begin emerging over an extended period to feed and mate. About 2 weeks after emergence females begin laying eggs. Females lay up to 250 - 400 eggs during their life.

In the Lake Erie and Finger Lake Regions BMSB is suspected to have only 1 generation/year. However, in southeastern, PA, especially in a hot year such as this, up to 2 generations are possible.

**Current status and concerns about BMSB in the Lake Erie and Finger Lakes Regions** – Only 3 brown marmorated stink bugs have been collected in Erie County, PA since 2010. At this point, there have been no confirmed reports of BMSB feeding on grapes in either the Lake Erie or Finger Lakes Regions. However, high populations of these stink bugs have occurred in vineyards in Maryland, Virginia and southeastern, PA. BMSB nymphs and adults feed in clusters and puncture berries with their piercing-sucking mouthparts. Unless population levels are high, direct feeding on berries may not cause economic losses on juice and wine varieties. However, concerns exist about feeding sites increasing the susceptibility of berries to secondary pathogens. Another potential problem is tainting of juice/wine due to crushing of BMSB with harvested grapes during processing. BMSB emit a disagreeable odor when crushed or disturbed which results in offensive aromas in grape juice. Research by Joe Fiola (Viticulture and Small Fruit Specialist, University of Maryland) showed that between 5-10 BMSB/25 lb lug of Vidal grapes and 10-20/25 lb lug of Cabernet Sauvignon grapes resulted in detectable aromas in juice. However, after fermentation, neither wine had any noticeable sensory taint.
**Research and Management** – Extensive research is being conducted on the management of BMSB throughout the eastern, U.S. To see research and extension priorities and other information concerning this pest check the Brown Marmorated Stink Bug IPM Working Group web site at [http://www.northeastipm.org/index.cfm/working-groups/bmsb-working-group/](http://www.northeastipm.org/index.cfm/working-groups/bmsb-working-group/)

Greg Krawczyk (Entomology Dept. - Penn State) has conducted numerous insecticide bioassays to determine the efficacy of various products against BMSB. A variety of insecticides have been effective including pyrethroids and several neonicotinoids (bioassay results are at [http://extension.psu.edu/fruit-times/news/2012/management-options-against-brown-marmorated-stink-bug-in-pennsylvania-fruit-orchards-2012-perspective](http://extension.psu.edu/fruit-times/news/2012/management-options-against-brown-marmorated-stink-bug-in-pennsylvania-fruit-orchards-2012-perspective)).

The 2012 New York and Pennsylvania Pest Management Guidelines for Grapes currently lists three products, Danitol 2.4 EC, Leverage 360 and Baythroid XL as insecticides which are labeled for use in both Pennsylvania and New York for BMSB management. Since this pest is highly mobile and can easily move among various host plants continual scouting of vineyard blocks is extremely important. If a pesticide application is warranted then be sure to check preharvest intervals before use. Currently, Jody Timer (Lake Erie Regional Grape Research & Extension Center, North East, PA) and Mike Saunders (Entomology Dept. - Penn State) are maintaining a colony of BMSB for research purposes. An artificial diet has been developed which will make rearing this insect more efficient. In addition, both a feeding study on Concord grapes and a trial to determine the level of BMSB required for perceptible aromas in pasteurized Concord juice are to be conducted this season. However, additional research is needed to fully ascertain the extent of problems that BMSB may pose for the juice and wine industries.

**Reporting** – This pest is being monitored in the Lake Erie and Finger Lakes Regions in New York by Greg Loeb (Entomology Dept. - Cornell), and Tim Weigle (LERGP Extension Team/NYS IPM Program). In Erie County, PA monitoring is being conducted by Saunders, Timer and Muza.

It is important that we have the cooperation of grape growers in tracking the buildup of this pest in both regions. Therefore, report any suspected BMSB sightings to the Lake Erie Regional Grape Program (716-792-2800) or the Finger Lakes Program at (315-536-5134).

**Sources**


**Grape Berry Moth Management in a Challenging Year**

*Tim Weigle*

The 2012 growing season is proving to be a challenge for growers in determining the need for grape berry moth management due to a number of reasons; 1) the spring freezes created a hodgepodge of tonnage between, and within, vineyard blocks – especially in the Lake Erie region and 2) the mild winter and extremely early, warm and dry season have combined to provide excellent conditions for grape berry moth development.

What does this mean to a grower? We always stress the need to manage your vineyards on a block by block basis. This is more important than ever in 2012 as you will need to get into each block (and even
areas of blocks where GBM has been a problem) to determine if there is a crop present to justify an insecticide application against GBM. Knowing that grapes are a perennial crop it makes sense to try to control grape berry moth this year even in vineyards where the crop size is not there. This will help to reduce the overwintering population of grape berry moth that you will have to deal with next year. For all practical purposes it is difficult to recommend a spray on vineyards that you do not intend to pick. For those vineyards keep two things in mind; First, get into the vineyard and complete crop estimation in a number of areas – splitting them between areas with major freeze damage and areas with less damage. This will give you the information you need to actually determine if you have more crop potential than your eyes are telling you. Second, if you skip sprays in areas where GBM management should be accomplished in 2012, plan on using those savings on GBM management in 2013 (if necessary) as not dealing with the problem this year can lead to larger problems for next year.

In an early year such as this you can throw the calendar based sprays of the Grape Berry Moth Risk Assessment protocol out the window. In its place is the new Grape Berry Moth Phenology-based Degree Day (DD) model that can be found on the NEWA website. This model is based on research conducted by Mike Saunders lab at Penn State which found that it takes 810 DD’s (base temp 47.14 F) for the grape berry moth to complete its lifecycle from egg to larvae to pupa to egg-laying adult. Calculation of degree days starts on the date of wild grape bloom. The GBM model can be found by accessing the NEWA website http://newa.cornell.edu, choosing a weather station near you (by clicking a station location on the map or choosing it from the pull down menu), and clicking the link for grape berry moth model in the Stations Pest Forecasts box. You will be taken to the Grape Berry Moth Model Results page for that particular station where you can put in the date of wild grape bloom in your area. If you did not notice wild grape bloom the model will provide a date for you using temperature information for the station and historical Concord bloom data from the Lake Erie region.

As you can see by the figure below, the model provides daily and accumulated degree day information for the past two days, the current day, as well as forecasting this information 5 days out using National Weather Service forecasts. On July 11, 2012, when this article was written, you can see that at Portland (CLEREL) we are currently at 1106 DD which puts us out of the most effective time to manage second generation. According to the forecast we will be picking up about 30 DD each day for the next 5 days putting us at 1254 DD on Monday, July 16. Scouting for the GBM to determine if there is a need to spray for the third generation can take place starting at 1470 DD.

So when is the next time to spray for grape berry moth. I do not know, but you can get a good handle on it by accessing the model on the NEWA website or by accessing the info in the weekly electronic updates from the Lake Erie and Finger Lakes grape programs.

---

**Grape Berry Moth Results for Portland**

**Wild Grape Bloom:** 5/24/2012

Wild Grape Bloom date above is estimated based on degree day accumulations or user input. Enter the actual date for blocks of interest and the model will calculate the results more accurately.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accumulated degree days (base 47.14°F) wild grape bloom through 7/11/2012: 1094 (0 days missing)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

**Daily Degree Days for Portland**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base Temp</th>
<th>Past Jul</th>
<th>Past Jul</th>
<th>Current Jul</th>
<th>5-Day Forecast Jul</th>
<th>Forecast Details Jul</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47.14°F - GBM</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulation</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>1081</td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>1133</td>
<td>1164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA - not available

Download Time: 7/11/2012 14:00

---

**Pest Status**

Second generation larvae are protected within berries and completing their development.

**Pest Management**

The most effective time for treatment of second generation grape berry moth is over. Prepare to scout all vineyard blocks for grape berry moth damage when DD accumulation reaches 1470-1620 DD. During scouting, determine if the number of damaged clusters from previous generation exceeds the treatment threshold of 15%. If above threshold, control measures should be applied starting at 1620 DD.
Crop Estimation in 2012
Hans Walter-Peterson, Finger Lakes Grape Program
Dr. Jodi Cresap-Gee, Lake Erie Regional Grape Program

Estimating a crop every year is often a real challenge for grape growers no matter where they farm, but here in the East our variable weather conditions and their impacts on vines, clusters and berries make the challenge that much more – uh, challenging.

In many cases, crop estimation is used to determine how much, if any, fruit should be removed from the vines in order to reach a real or perceived quality target. So it is no wonder that we will see more growers trying to estimate their crops in cool years or seasons with an unusually heavy crop when ripening can be a challenge.

However, neither of those conditions really exists in 2012 in the Finger Lakes or the Lake Erie region. Many blocks with Concord, Niagara, Baco, Chardonnay and other early varieties got hit with frost damage to varying degrees this spring, reducing potential yields. In addition, we are experiencing another warmer than average growing season with bloom occurring 2-3 weeks ahead of time, which means we should have ample growing season to ripen an above average crop (for those who have one).

So why should growers bother estimating their crop this year?

Two reasons come to mind: facilitating good communication with current buyers, and determining the need to find new buyers if necessary. And for crop insurance purposes, if need be (OK, three reasons to do crop estimation). Buyers will want to know as soon as possible if there will be enough fruit to fill their needs come harvest, or if they need to go out and find more. Growers who can provide that kind of information to wineries and processors earlier in the season can potentially benefit from an improved reputation and relationship with buyers, making them a more highly desired supplier of fruit. Or at the other end of the spectrum, growers will want to know if they need to go out and find more buyers for their crop if it is larger than what they have committed to buyers so far.

Adjusting crop size. To maintain balanced vines, one must attempt to maintain the appropriate leaf area to fruit weight ratio, which, according to Dr. Terry Bates with the LERGP, is 15 cm² of exposed leaf area/gram of fresh fruit.

- Does this ratio apply to wine grapes? Of course – wine grapes need to be balanced to attain the quality desired by the winemaker.
- Does this ratio apply to processing grapes? Yes! While the goals of growing processing grapes (maximum tonnage) differs from that of wine grapes (maximum quality), vines are healthiest and most consistently productive when they are balanced.
- How can a vineyard manager work toward this goal? Using strategies such as pruning, fruit thinning, shoot thinning, and combinations of these techniques will all alter the crop size, which will affect crop quality. Of course, this assumes good insect, disease, weed, and nutrient management programs are in place.

In wine grape production, the goal is often to reach an optimal balance of sugar, acidity, color, etc. in specific varieties. Although the definition of “ripe” is changing with new research, for simplicity’s sake, we will focus on °Brix. To ripen a wine grape crop to about 21 °Brix, the crop weight MUST NOT exceed the leaf area, or vines will struggle to ripen the crop, and wine quality will be compromised, not to mention that vines with excessive crop loads tend to over-winter very poorly.

- Strategies to manage crop load and canopy in wine grapes:
  - Pruning
  - Shoot thinning
  - Leaf pulling
  - Crop estimation and thinning
  - Vigor management
  - Soil/nutrition management

In processing grape production, the goal is often to reach a specific level of °Brix at maximum yield. To ripen a crop to about 15-18 °Brix, the crop weight MUST NOT exceed the leaf area, or vines will struggle to ripen the crop, and juice quality will be compromised, not to mention that vines with excessive crop loads tend to over-winter very poorly.

- Strategies to manage crop load and canopy in juice grapes:
  - Pruning
  - Shoot thinning (Figure 3)
  - Crop estimation and thinning
  - Vigor management
  - Soil/nutrition management
Notice any similarities? Keep in mind that many of the management practices in wine and processing grape production are very similar, with the main difference being scale of production. Crop estimation – in either production system – requires historical data from previous years and average cluster weights when berries are at approximately 40-50% of their final berry weight. This is at 30 days post bloom in Concord production and at about 1200 growing degree days (50°F base) for hybrid and vinifera grapes.

As we all know, the growing season got off to an early start in March. Both the Finger Lakes and Lake Erie regions reached 1200 growing degree days (GDDs) earlier than we usually do in the season, if you include the GDDs that we accumulated in March. While it isn’t unusual for us to accumulate a few GDDs in March, this year was obviously different as it was warm enough to begin vine growth (news flash - grapevines don’t pay attention to the calendar). Therefore, it makes sense that we would include those early GDDs this year if using this method to estimate crop yields.

As we have discussed and demonstrated a number of times over the past several years, we have a pretty good handle on how to do crop estimates in Concord. Based on work by Terry Bates, Bob Pool and others, we know that Concord berries are at about 50% of their final berry weight at 30 days after bloom, which happens to generally coincide with about 1200 GDDs here in New York. Estimates can be done later in the year, all the way until veraison, as long as adjustments are made to the factor to convert current berry weight to final berry weight. The challenge with doing yield estimates in vineyards with more than a small amount of frost-injury (>20% perhaps) is the different stages of development of the berries on primary and secondary clusters. The berry weight curve that is the basis of the crop estimation technique assumes that the clusters are from primary shoots. We have no data on how berries from secondary clusters develop during the growing season, so this adds a whole other layer of potential error in any crop estimate in these areas.

So do you need to thin your crop? For almost all bulk variety growers this year, the answer will probably be ‘no’. But how do you know if you don’t check? You should know the historical cropping levels in your vineyard, and that is a good start for how much crop you should remove from the vines. For example, a balanced block that ripens an average of 8 tons/acre could easily be thinned to that yield annually, depending on the growing degree days. If we are three growing degree days ahead of average at thinning time, one more ton could be ripened in that vineyard. Terry notes that this “‘3 days to ripen one ton’ rule comes from a Concord pruning experiment where vines with a range of crop levels were harvested based on juice soluble solids,” not on a single date. Additionally, it is important to note that as crop level increases in Concord vineyards, the juice soluble solids (°Brix) decreases.

Crop Estimation in Hybrid and Vinifera Varieties
For most other grape varieties, there is a bit more of a challenge to this inexact science. Unlike Concord, we still don’t have berry development curves for other major New York varieties based on multiple years of data, although the Finger Lakes and Lake Erie Grape Programs are currently working on a project with to do so. In the meantime, however, there are a couple other ways that growers can estimate their crop.

Historical Cluster Weights - Cluster weights are primarily influenced by the number of berries on each cluster and how much each of those berries weighs. Each of these factors can vary depending on the growing season, resulting in fluctuating cluster weights each year. Some growers have collected cluster weights at harvest over multiple years and calculated an average weight for different varieties, and
sometimes different clones within varieties. If you have this data, the calculation is fairly straightforward:

\[
\text{Yield} = \left( \frac{\# \text{ of bearing vines/acre} \times (\text{clusters/vine}) \times (\text{final cluster weight in lbs.})}{2000} \right)
\]

The obvious challenge with this method is that most growers don’t collect cluster weight data. The following are some estimates of final cluster weight (in pounds) for a few varieties that are grown in the Finger Lakes, based on data from Ohio and Michigan*:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Weight (lbs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chardonnay</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riesling</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabernet Franc</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemberger</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traminette</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vidal</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seyval</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niagara</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vignoles</td>
<td>1.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontana</td>
<td>1.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vignoles</td>
<td>1.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riesling</td>
<td>1.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabernet Sauvignon</td>
<td>1.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concord</td>
<td>1.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chardonel</td>
<td>1.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinot blanc</td>
<td>1.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traminette</td>
<td>1.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seyval</td>
<td>1.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merlot</td>
<td>1.700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Using Growing Degree Days - This model is similar in some ways to that used for estimating crop in Concord, in that it assumes that final berry weight is approximately 50% of its final value at a certain point in the growing season, defined by a particular number of growing degree days. In the Finger Lakes, we have most often focused on the 1200 GDD threshold as the point where berries are 50% of their final weight. But as you can guess, this is likely somewhat dependent on variety. Dami and Sabatini (2011) suggest that 50% final berry weight generally falls around the following number of GDDs for these varieties (see Table 2). According to this data, with some exceptions, many varieties on this list generally hit 50% final berry weight around 1200 GDDs.

To use this method, you need to do what we call “destructive sampling,” meaning you need to harvest some clusters - I would suggest at least 40-50 clusters from 25-30 vines - and weigh them in order to determine an average cluster weight. Once you have the average cluster weight, double that number (assuming you’re close to the number of GDDs cited above) and that is your estimated final cluster weight. Then use the formula up above to calculate your crop estimate.

Berry growth continues beyond 1200 GDDs obviously, so estimates that are taken after this point will need to adjust the factor used to multiply the current cluster weight to calculate the estimated final weight. One factor to consider is that at around 40 days after bloom (give or take depending on variety) berry growth enters the lag phase of development, when changes in berry weight slow down before resuming at or just after veraison. Growers who are estimating from now through the beginning of veraison may want to try using a factor of 1.7 or 1.8 when multiplying the current cluster weight, instead of doubling that number.

Remember that crop estimation is not an exact science. Things can happen towards the end of the year that can result in some significant differences from your earlier estimate, e.g., changes in berry size due to weather, insect or disease problems, etc., along with the simple fact that it is an estimate. If you manage to be within 15% or so of your original estimate come harvest time, especially in the first few years of trying this, consider yourself successful.

Sources:

This publication has some useful worksheets that walk growers through the process of collecting the necessary information and making the calculations to estimate their crop. The publication can be found at http://ohioline.osu.edu/hyg-fact/1000/pdf/1434.pdf. Growers who are unable to access the worksheet online can contact the FLGP or LERGP offices for a printed copy.

## Dr. Terry Bates: Crop Estimation and Thinning Table: 7/16/2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of Season</th>
<th>20DAB</th>
<th>25DAB</th>
<th>30DAB</th>
<th>35DAB</th>
<th>40DAB</th>
<th>45DAB</th>
<th>50DAB</th>
<th>55DAB</th>
<th>60DAB</th>
<th>65DAB</th>
<th>70DAB</th>
<th>75DAB</th>
<th>80DAB</th>
<th>90DAB</th>
<th>100DAB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of Final Berry Weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pounds of Fruit Removed in 1/100th of an Acre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Calculation**

43,560 square feet per acre
Divide by row spacing and then divide by 100 to get 1/100th of an acre

**Example:**
A grower has 9 foot row spacing and clean picks 48.4 feet at 25 days after bloom. The fruit weighs 80 pounds and the grower estimates that the berries are between 35% and 40% of final berry weight. According to the table, the crop estimate is between 10.0 and 11.4 tons per acre.

**Disclaimer:**
This table gives the relationship between time of season and % final berry weight on an average year. Year to year variability in weather related berry growth adds error to this table. Information on current year berry growth can be obtained from the Fredonia Vineyard Lab (or) it is strongly suggested that individual growers start collecting berry weight information from their own individual vineyard blocks.
Finger Lakes Teaching & Demonstration Vineyard Making Progress  
Mike Colizzi, Finger Lakes Grape Program

Cornell Cooperative Extension’s (CCE) Finger Lakes Grape Program (FLGP) and Finger Lakes Community College (FLCC) are in the process of establishing a teaching and demonstration vineyard at Anthony Road Wine Company. The 2.5 acre vineyard will serve as a site where CCE’s Finger Lakes Grape Program can conduct applied research projects and demonstrations for current and prospective grape growers in the Finger Lakes region and beyond. Students from FLCC’s Viticulture and Wine Technology program will have the opportunity to participate in some of this research. They will also provide most of the vineyard labor, such as pruning, shoot thinning and harvesting, and learn how characteristics of grapes translate into winemaking.

The vineyard was planted on May 31st and posts were set the following week. Fruiting wires have been installed so the vines could be staked for training. Recently, we have been working on getting our drip irrigation system up and running, which we are hoping to have completed by July 13th. After that we will need to start tying the vines to the stakes in order to keep them growing straight. We also need to finish running our foliage wires and get our new weather station mounted and working.

The vineyard contains fourteen different varieties: Catawba, Cayuga White, Riesling, Cabernet Franc, Chardonnay, Lemberger, Gruner Veltliner, Zweigelt, Marquis, Jupiter (both Marquis and Jupiter are seedless table grapes), Vidal, NY81.0315.17 (a Cayuga White x Riesling cross from Bruce Reisch’s breeding program), Corot Noir, and Marquette. We also chose to plant some varieties on a couple of different rootstocks to look at differences in growth and other characteristics. We plan to also experiment with different types of trellis designs. We want this vineyard to showcase what is out there as much as possible in 2.5 acres. If you’re ever interested in checking out the new vineyard, please feel free to give either Hans or Mike with the FLGP a call, and we’ll be happy to show it to you.

This project has been funded by a grant from the Genesee Valley Regional Market Authority. We thank them for their support of this new and valuable resource.
2012 Summer Growers Conference

If you haven’t signed up yet, there is still time. We are extending the deadline for registration to next Friday, July 20th. You can locate the registration form on the LERGP website. Any questions, call Katie at (716)792-2800 ext 201.

You’re not too late!

300 Gallon Weed Sprayer

- Hyd Pump Drive
- Dual Agitation
- Optional Manual or Electronic Controls
- Available in 200 & 300 Gallon Sizes
- Row Center & Under the Row Booms Available

We specialize in custom built vineyard equipment including sprayers, pre-pruners, wire winders, post pounders, post hole diggers, and brush spidders

LaPorte Farm Equipment
7521 Prospect Road, Westfield NY 14787
(716) 326-4671
visit us at: www.laportefarmequipment.com

LAKEVIEW VINEYARD EQUIPMENT INC.
40 Lakeshore Road, R.R. 4, Niagara-on-the-Lake, ON L0S 1J0
Phone (905) 848-5055 or Toll Free 1-866-677-4717
www.lakeviewvineyardequipment.com

Complete Vine Maintenance
Pre Pruners, Leaf Removers, Hedgers, & Sprayers

New & Used Grape Harvesters
Demo Models Available!!
Tow Behind Harvesters From $125,000!

Why Lakeview? We know what you need, we stock parts, and we back up EVERYTHING that we sell. Check out our website today to view our inventory! Also from Lakeview – Orchard Rite Wind Machines, the market leader in cold weather & frost protection!
**BIGGER VALUE IN NARROW ROWS**

The NEW 76-PTO horsepower TD4040F tractor combines BIG power and BIG value in streamlined, low-profile, narrow package so you can work productively in confined spaces and in the narrow rows of orchards, nut groves and vineyards. You get a choice of convenient Synchro Shuttle™ mechanical transmissions – a 12x12 or 20x12 creeper. Stop in today to see the latest addition to the New Holland Specialty tractor line-up.

**PROVEN 4-CYL. 195 CU. IN. ENGINE**

**4WD FRONT AXLE FOR ADDED TRACTION**

**ISO-MOUNTED, LOW-VIBRATION OPERATOR PLATFORM**

**EASY-TO-USE CONTROLS**

---

**Belle Terre Irrigation**

Offering grape growers innovative solutions for their pest problems.

**Movento**

Movento® is a new systemic foliar insecticide that offers grape growers a revolutionary tool for managing a broad range of sucking insect pests such as *Phylloxera* and mealy bugs.

**Leverage**

Two powerful and trusted modes of action – one delivers fast knockdown, the other extends residual control offering contact contact and translaminar activity – for more complete control of pests like Japanese beetle, grape berry moth and leaf hoppers.

**Reason**

Demonstrates consistent, reliable Downy mildew control in grapes.

Reason penetrates leaf tissue with translaminar movement to protect both sides of the leaf surface and moves within the plant to protect new growth.

Rely 280 offers grape growers unbeatable, cost-effective, nonselective control of the toughest grass and broadleaf weeds, including those that show resistance to other leading Herbicides.

For more information contact Dan DiGiacomandrea (Danny D) Bayer CropScience at 585-330-3263 or dan.digiacomandrea@bayer.com

---

**Larry Romance & Son Inc**

2769 Rt 20 - Box 38
Sheridan, NY 14135
(716) 679-3366
tractorsales@netsync.net

**Double A Vineyards, Inc.**

10277 Christy Road • Fredonia, New York 14063

**GROWERS OF QUALITY GRAPEVINES**

We grow a full line of grapevines:

- American • French Hybrid •
- NY Bred Cultivars • Vinifera •
- Seedless • Winter Hardy •

Call for our catalog or to place an order today. We also carry Blue-X vine shelters. Call for a sample.

Phone: 716/672-8493
Fax: 716/679-3442
e-mail: sue.rak@doubleavineyards.com
website: www.doubleavineyards.com

---

**Bella Terre Irrigation**

We have extended our Wild Life Control & Trellis Supplies Line

**Bekaert Wire Coils**

12½ gauge ZA HT Coils, 200k psi. Torsion free.

**Beakart Deer Fence Wire**

12½ Gauge Roll of 330 length x 96” ZA Finish & 12½ Gauge. USA made, Top quality.

**Bekaert Long Barb Staples**

ZA 1.75” USA made, Top quality.

**Like Gripple**

only Stronger

**GAP Portable Restroom,**

Hand Stand Sink, Ladders, Tree Guards, Bird Netting,

Loppers, Grape Shears, Plastic Ties, Straps,

Harness, and more !!!!!!!

**Business Hours:** Monday- Friday 8 am - 4:30 pm

8142 Champlin Rd. Sodus, NY 14551
315-483-6155 Fax 315-483-4064
Visit us online www.dripsupply.com

---

**Tenax C-Flex Deer Fence**

91” x 300’

91” x 100’

**Wooden Poles**

3”x4” x 10’.40 CCA
4”x5” x 10’.40 CCA
4”x5” x 12’.40 CCA

**Nicopress Crimp Sleeve**

for 12 Gauge Wire

Use for joining HT wire.

---

**Pro Spring Clip Strainer**

**Tenax C-Flex Deer Fence**

---

**Nicopress Crimp Sleeve**

for 12 Gauge Wire

Use for joining HT wire.
HANKS AG & FORESTRY SERVICES

Forestry Consultant
Stephen L. Hanks, Prop.

Locust Post- Split or sawn
Sizes: (3”x3” - 3”x4” - 4”x4”) all L.

P.O. Box 332
Westfield, NY 14787
Ph: 716-792-2402

TEXAS REFINERY CORP.

RONALD CUNNINGHAM

INDEPENDENT LUBRICANTS CONSULTANT

Selling the Products of Texas Refinery corp.

9227 Mathews Rd.  Cell: (716) 581-0570
Portland, NY 14769  Phone: (716) 792-9711

David J. Maille
Consultant

MAILLE CONSULTING SERVICES

Agricultural-Environmental

7653 Dutton Rd.
Harborcreek, PA 16421
Phone: (814) 898-0755
Fax: (814) 898-0249
maillecon@aol.com

Brickside Society
of Professional Consultants
WORLDWIDE NETWORK OF SUPPORT

65 Years of Leading-edge Research & Development
• French, American and Eastern European Oak Barrels
• Small & Large Format Barrels, Tanks & Casks
• Pronektar™ Oak Adjuncts
• 2010 Vinitech Gold Trophy for Innovation in Wine
  • OakScan™ Process

brickpackaging.com

Contact East Coast Agent: Dan Brick
PO BOX 6715
Traverse City, MI 49696-6715
(866) 770-7600 ext. 201
Cell: (231) 642-1112
dan@brickpackaging.com
Cooperatively yours,

Jodi Creasap Gee
Area Viticulture Extension Associate

Kevin Martin
Business Management Educator

Andy Muza
County Extension Educator

Timothy Weigle
Littlery Weed Control Extension Associate

Cornell Cooperative Extension
LERGP
6592 W Main Rd
Portland NY 14769